PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D U 7 NOV 2005

			WIPO	PCT		
Applicant's or agent's file reference FR920030012	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/003049	International filing date 27.02.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month) 18.04.2003	lyear)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na G06F17/24	I atlonal classification and II	PC	<u> </u>			
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION						
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:				
a. 🗆 sent to the applicant and to	o the International Bure	au) a total of sheets, a	s follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
□ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following it	tems:				
☐ Box No. i Basis of the opi	nion					
□ Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				cability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention					
☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cita	lo. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of thi	s report			
04.08.2004		07.11.2005				
Name and mailing address of the International		Authorized Officer	•	usches Palenten.		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin		Abram, R		September 1		
Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840		Telephone No. +49 30 2	5901-	Proposition and the state of th		
		1				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003049

_				
_	Box No. I	Basis of the report	-	
1.	With regard filed, unles	d to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which is otherwise indicated under this item.	it wa	
	wnich	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	□ puŁ	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	nave been	d to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets was)</i> furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the brighnally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	vhich his	
	Description	ı, Pages		
	1-86	as originally filed		
	Claims, Nu	nbers		
	1-15	as originally filed		
	Drawings, S	Sheets		
	1/23-23/23	as originally filed		
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.		nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		description, pages claims, Nos.		
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs		
	□ the □ any	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
	☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos.		
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs		
	⊔ the □ any	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003049

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/014987 A (INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES

CORPORATION) 20 February 2003 (2003-02-20)

D2: HENRION: "WHAT'S WRONG WITH SPREADSHEETS - AND HOW TO FIX

THEM WITH ANALYTICA"[Online] 14 July 2004 (2004-07-14),

XP002333472 Retrieved from the Internet:

URL:http://www.lumina.com/dlana/papers/Wha

ts%20wrong%20with%20spreadsheets.pdf> [retrieved on 2005-06-24]

- The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter 1 of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
 - a method (page 210, line 11 page 226, line 4; figure 18) for managing deletion operations in a scalable template instance.
- The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that the scalable 1.1 templates (and their instances) are recursive (each element of the top-level scalable recursive template can itself be a scalable template).
- 1.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 1.3 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as enabling the user of a spreadsheet to delete elements within very complicated and adaptable hierarchical structures within a spreadsheet without an undue burden of effort.
- The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/003049

None of the available prior art even hints at the idea of instances of scalable hierarchical structures within a spreadsheet, much less deleting elements therein whilst preserving the overall structure, or even that this is possible or practicable. Conventional spreadsheets have difficulty coping with creating & amending even relatively simple table structures and normal practice is still to resort to numerical modelling software (see for example D2) rather than try to incorporate these capabilities into the spreadsheet concept.

- 1.5 Claims 2-13 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 1.6 Claims 14 and 15 refer to a computer system and a computer program for carrying out the steps of the method of claims 1-22, and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.